



8 June 2012

Diane Jones

Chief Operating Officer

James Middleweek

Investor Relations



Where are we at?

| | FY2011 | 1H2012 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Gross Income (from cases) | \$57.9M | \$32.6M |
| Net Income (from cases) | \$38.0M | \$19.5M |
| NPAT | \$22.9M | \$11.9M |
| Dividend (cents per share) | 15.0(ff) | - |
| EPS | 18.56 | 9.69 |
| Net Asset Backing (cents per share) | 70.77 | 75.46 |
| Case Investment (Intangibles) | \$59.63M | \$69.2M |
| Value of Investment Portfolio | 1.778B | \$1.6BN |





2H 2012 Income

5 cases have finalised or potentially finalised to date in 2H 2012.

| Matter | Gross profit |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Centrex | \$1.4M |
| Uniloc ¹ | \$2.8M |
| Transpacific Industries ² | \$10.5M |
| Centro ³ | \$42.0M |
| Collyer Bristow ⁴ | \$(5.0)M |
| Sub-Total gross income | \$51.7M |

- 1 The claim against Microsoft was settled in March 2012. Further income is expected as Uniloc pursues other patent infringers.
- 2 TPI conditionally settled in April 2012. IMF will know if conditions met by 30 June 2012.
- 3 Centro conditionally settled in May 2012. Court approval required by 30 June 2012.
- 4 Collyer Bristow unfavourable judgment . Assessing appeal prospects.





2H 2012 Income

Other cases which may impact 2H2012

- Lehman
- LGFS
- Westgem





Cash Position

Cash at 31 March 2012 - \$48.1M

- Pan and Credit Corp received April 2012 \$17.7M
- Credit Corp expected June 2012 \$2.0M
- TPI ?

Convertible Notes of \$38M repayable 31 December 2014

Election for early repayment from 31 December 2012





Franking Credit Position

- Timing difference
 - Intangible balance: FY2010 = \$40.5M

HY2012 = \$69.2M

Deferred tax liability: FY2010 = \$11.4M

HY2012 = \$21.2M

- Franking Balance
 - As at 30 June 2011 = \$0.3M
 - Final fully franked dividend for FY2011 = (\$2.6M)
 - FY2011 income tax refund = \$1.4M (paid \$5M)
 - Expect small balance at 30 June 2012





Dividend Paid

7 dividends have been paid since April 2007.

| Date | | Year | Cents | |
|------------|---------|------|-------|---------------|
| 16/04/2007 | Interim | 2007 | 3 | Un-franked |
| 17/10/2008 | Final | 2008 | 5 | Fully franked |
| 11/02/2009 | Interim | 2009 | 5 | Fully franked |
| 24/07/2009 | Final | 2009 | 10 | Fully franked |
| 24/03/2010 | Interim | 2010 | 5 | Fully franked |
| 13/04/2011 | Interim | 2011 | 10 | Fully franked |
| 7/10/2011 | Final | 2011 | 5 | Fully franked |





Investment Portfolio

As at 31 March 2012

| Claim Value Range | Est. Claim Value* | No of Cases | % of Total Value | Expected Completion FY2012** | Expected Completion FY2013 | Expected Completion FY2014+ |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <\$10M | \$15.0M | 3 | 1% | - | \$5.0M | \$10.0M |
| \$10M - \$50M | \$260.0M | 12 | 17% | _ | \$70.0M | \$190.0M |
| >\$50M | \$1,260.0M | 14 | 82% | \$325.0M | \$685.0M | \$250.0M |
| Total Portfolio | \$1,535M | 27 | 100% | \$325.0M | \$760.0M | \$450.0M |

^{*} This is IMF's best estimate of the claims recoverable amount. It considers, where appropriate, the perceived capacity to pay of the defendant to pay the amount claimed. It is not necessarily the same as the amount being claimed by IMF's client/s in the matter. It is also not the estimated return to IMF from the matter.

^{**} The FY2012 amount of \$325M includes Centro, TPI and Collyer Bristow.





Possible Completions in FY 2013

| Matter | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| Lehmans | The trial in this matter is now completed. Judgment is expected. |
| LGFS | Trial concluded. Judgment is expected. |
| Uniloc | Further income is expected as Uniloc pursues other patent infringers. |
| Westgem | Court decision on receiver's case expected. |
| Bank Fees | High Court appeal and next phase of ANZ case |
| Bank of Queensland | Trial starts September 2012 |
| Others | |
| Total | \$760M* |



* This is IMF's best estimate of the claims recoverable amount. It considers, where appropriate, the perceived capacity to pay of the defendant to pay the amount claimed. It is not necessarily the same as the amount being claimed by IMF's client/s in the matter. It is also not the estimated return to IMF from the matter.