

2 August 2016

Further High Grade “Bonanza” Gold confirmed at Edna Beryl

- New 1m re-splits from the second drill campaign include:
 - **5m at 35.6 g/t gold from 120m (EBWRC012) including;**
 - 3m at 44.5 g/t gold
 - 1m at 77.6 g/t gold
 - **2m at 30.1 g/t gold from 128m (EBWRC015)**
 - **3m at 9.10 g/t gold from 136m (EBWRC018) including;**
 - 1m at 24.4 g/t gold
 - **2m at 7.28 g/t gold from 142m (EBWRC018) including;**
 - 1m at 12.5 g/t gold
 - **3m at 36.6g/t gold from 227m (EBWRC025) including;**
 - 1m at 65.6 g/t gold and
 - 1m at 31.8 g/t gold
 - **3m at 9.28g/t gold from 170m (EBWRC026) including;**
 - 1m at 13.2 g/t gold
- These new results at Edna Beryl confirm the high grade nature of the mineralisation and the potential for expansion beyond the sparse, existing drilling – particularly in ore Panel 3 and below
- Further results from the second drill campaign to follow
- Emmerson’s next ~6,500m drill campaign is scheduled to commence in late August and will include further drilling at Edna Beryl, plus drilling at a number of new projects including an “Edna Beryl” look alike called Susan
- All exploration is fully funded by the Farm-in and Joint Venture with Evolution Mining

Emmerson Resources Limited (“Emmerson” ASX: ERM) and partner, Evolution Mining Limited (“Evolution” ASX: EVN) advise that majority of the assay results have now been received from drilling campaign 1 and 2 at Edna Beryl.

The initial results reported from 3m composite samples from the first reverse circulation (RC) drilling campaign (ASX 19 May, 2016) were.

- **13m at 8.7g/t gold including 7m at 15.1g/t gold from 133m (EBWRC001); and**
- **5m at 27.1g/t gold including 2m at 50.9g/t gold from 103m (EBWRC003)**

The return of the individual 1 metre samples from the second drilling campaign (table 1 and figure 2) confirm the high-grade nature of the gold mineralisation and importantly highlight the potential of this project to substantially add to the existing JORC resources within our 100% owned Tennant Creek project in the Northern Territory (figure 1).

The five “ore shoot panels” identified to date link the Edna Beryl West to the Edna Beryl East mineralisation and now with the results from the deeper panel 3 drilling (EBWRC025 and 26), indicate continuity and potential at depth. Furthermore, the very high grade gold at depth is primary in nature and not the result of upgrading through a supergene process and thus augers well for the next round of panel 3 (and below) drilling. Also the association of gold with oxidised, hematite bearing fluids continues to validate our exploration model and reveal a new generation of hematite (i.e. non-magnetic) ironstone hosted gold and copper deposits.

Given the encouragement from the last two drill campaigns, the next round of ~6,500m of reverse circulation and diamond drilling will commence in August 2016 and continues to be fully funded through the Farm-In and Joint Venture with Evolution. With details yet to be finalised, this drilling will be focussed on extensions at Edna Beryl (Panel 3 and below) and some new projects including Susan, Venus, Retsina, Eldorado AN5 and, the M5 and Black Eye targets within a recently acquired tenement along the Warrego-Orlando corridor (figure 1).

A revised geological interpretation and remodelling of the magnetic geophysics at Susan suggests untested potential. Both immediately below the historical Susan Mine and also some 350m deeper within the Susan syncline. Thus phase one drilling at Susan will test immediately down plunge of some of the better historical drill holes (including **SSRB04 - 9m at 9.12g/t gold, SSRB05 - 11m at 19.5g/t gold** and **SSRB07-10m at 14.3g/t gold**) plus include some diamond drilling to test the Susan Deeps target (figure 3).

Investor Enquiries:

Mr Rob Bills

Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer

Tel: +61 8 9381 7838

www.emmersonresources.com.au

About Tennant Creek and Emmerson Resources

The Tennant Creek Mineral Field (TCMF) is one of Australia's highest grade gold and copper fields producing over 5.5 Mozs of gold and 470,000 tonnes of copper from a variety of deposits including Gecko, Orlando, Warrego, White Devil, Chariot and Golden Forty, all of which are within Emmerson Resources (ASX: ERM) exploration and joint venture portfolio. These deposits are considered to be highly valuable exploration targets and, utilising modern exploration techniques, Emmerson has been successful in discovering copper and gold mineralisation at Goanna and Monitor in late 2011, the first discoveries in the TCMF for over a decade. To date, Emmerson has only covered 5.5% of the total tenement package (in area) with these innovative exploration techniques and is confident that, with further exploration, more such discoveries will be made.

Emmerson holds 2,500km² of ground in the TCMF, owns the only gold mill in the region and holds a substantial geological database plus extensive infrastructure and equipment. Emmerson has consolidated 95% of the highly prospective TCMF where only 8% of the historical drilling has penetrated below 150m.

Emmerson is led by a board and management group of experienced Australian mining executives including former MIM and WMC mining executive Andrew McIlwain as non-executive chairman, and former senior BHP Billiton and WMC executive Rob Bills as Managing Director and CEO.

Pursuant to the Farm-in agreement entered into with Evolution Mining Limited (Evolution) on 11 June 2014, Evolution is continuing to sole fund exploration expenditure of \$15 million over three years to earn a 65% interest (Stage 1 Farm-in) in Emmerson's tenement holdings in the TCMF. An option to spend a further \$10 million minimum, sole funded by Evolution over two years following the Stage 1 Farm-in, would enable Evolution to earn an additional 10% (Stage 2 Farm-in) of the tenement holdings. Emmerson is acting as manager during the Stage 1 Farm-in and is receiving a management fee during this period. Exploration expenditure attributable to the Stage 1 Farm-in to date is approximately \$9.5 million.

About Evolution Mining

Evolution Mining is a leading, growth-focussed Australian gold miner. Evolution operates seven wholly owned mines – Cowal in New South Wales, Cracow, Mt Carlton, Mt Rawdon and Pajingo in Queensland, and Edna May and Mungari in Western Australia.

Group production for FY15 from Evolution's five existing operating assets (prior to completion of the Cowal and Mungari acquisitions) totalled 437,570 ounces gold equivalent at an All-In Sustaining Cost of A\$1,036 per ounce. Evolution has guided FY16 attributable gold production from all seven operating assets of 770,000 – 820,000 ounces at an AISC of A\$970 – A\$1,020 per ounce.

About Edna Beryl Mineralisation

Edna Beryl was discovered by prospectors in 1935 and mined underground in the 1940s and 1950s to a maximum depth of approximately 50 metres. Production up until 1952 was reportedly 2,700t of ore at an exceptional grade of 53g/t gold.

More recent exploration between 1996 and 2000 by Giants Reef Mining outlined additional high-grade gold mineralisation below the historic workings of the Edna Beryl East Mine and resulted in a resource estimate being reported in 1998 by independent consultants in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Identified Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC:1998). While this estimate does not meet the minimum reporting requirements for a Mineral Resource under the current 2012 JORC Code, Emmerson has decided to monetise this mineralisation via a “small mines” Tribute Agreement with the Edna Beryl Mining company. The Tribute Agreement is constrained to a tight 3D envelope around the mineralisation at the Edna Beryl East Mine (orange and purple colours in Figure 2). The Tribute Agreement also contemplates further underground exploration and drilling, however any mineralisation outside of this 3D envelope remains 100% Emmerson.

Regulatory Information

The Company does not suggest that economic mineralisation is contained in the untested areas, the information contained relating to historical drilling records have been compiled, reviewed and verified as best as the Company was able. The Company is planning further drilling programs to understand the geology, structure and potential of the untested areas below current mineralisation. The Company cautions investors against using this announcement solely as a basis for investment decisions without regard for this disclaimer.

Competency Statement

The information in this report which relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Steve Russell BSc, Applied Geology (Hons), MAIG, MSEG. Mr Russell is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 edition and the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Russell is a full time employee of the Company and consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.




Figure 1 – Location of Emmerson’s Tennant Creek Project and Edna Beryl Tribute Mine–plus projects that will be drilled in the next quarter (Edna Beryl, Susan, M5, Black Eye, Venus 14 & 15, Retsina and Eldorado AN 5.




Figure 2 – Long Section with the new interpretation that links Edna Beryl West with the Edna Beryl East “small mine” development. Phase one drill results (yellow call out boxes) plus recent Phase two drill results (white call out boxes).




Figure 3. Susan long section showing all drilling with gold intersections, proposed drill hole pierce point, and interpreted magnetite-hematite ironstone plunge.

Table 1: Edna Beryl West significant drill hole intersections

Hole ID	East (MGA94_53)	North (MGA94_53)	RL AHD	Dip (deg)	AZI mag (deg)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (ppm)	Bi (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Fe (%)	Pb (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Mo (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Sample Type	
EBWRC001	416573.10	7864800.14	298.8	-67		349.5	133	146	13	8.69	1.47	69	573	25	29	54	71	10.5	1 metre
					Incl.	133	140	7	15.1	1.26	64	390	25	22	83	12	12.5	1 metre	
					Incl.	135	137	2	24.7	1.76	79	218	22	35	70	10	5.81	1 metre	
EBWRC003	416547.40	7864807.41	298.4	-66		348.5	103	108	5	27.1	4.43	391	67	17	40	97	10	0.69	1 metre
					Incl.	103	105	2	50.9	8.66	659	99	19	68	139	8	0.81	1 metre	
EBRWC005	416517.91	7864805.21	298.1	-66		348.0	95	97	2	1.12	0.33	23	153	15.2	7.35	192	575	0.30	1 metre
EBWRC008	416536.97	7864805.22	298.2	-66		349.0	92	95	3	1.54	0.59	128	0.01	22.6	30.4	117	12.4	109	1 metre
EBWRC010	416546.97	7864814.13	298.3	-66		349.0	84	85	1	1.33	4.29	236	29.9	23.3	16.1	174	8.00	0.5	1 metre
EBWRC012	416573.06	7864809.09	298.9	-66		349.4	108	109	1	1.81	0.17	51.7	10.6	21.9	12.6	131	14.3	0.95	1 metre
						120	125	5	35.6	38.6	0.31%	0.62%	13.3	785	29.2	56.8	7.60		
					Incl.	121	124	3	44.5	55.9	0.46%	0.88%	10.6	0.12%	32.3	42.6	9.30		
					Incl.	123	124	1	77.6	93.7	0.85%	1.70%	9.48	0.25%	25.0	72.6	13.0		
EBWRC015	416536.29	7864791.06	298.2	-65		349.0	128	130	2	30.1	35.6	0.11%	231	15.6	177	133	3.10	0.19	1 metre
EBWRC018	416582.29	7864804.00	299.1	-65		349.0	136	139	3	9.10	6.60	319	776	24.9	78.5	10.3	41.9	10.9	1 metre
					Incl.	136	137	1	24.4	14.6	676	0.13%	21.5	167	7.00	69.3	9.99		
						142	144	2	7.28	2.92	329	0.20%	21.2	61.3	123	30.0	8.52		
					Incl.	142	143	1	12.5	1.90	143	567	21.5	43.8	193	22.1	7.62		
EBWRC025	416645.10	7864779.21	300.1	-65		345.0	227	230	3	36.6	7.28	570	109	16.3	148	148	3.77	0.81	1 metre
					Incl.	227	228	1	65.6	63.8	342	47.9	16.4	121	131	3.90	0.74		
EBWRC026	416657.62	7864802.05	302.2	-65		347.5	170	173	3	9.28	42.8	0.21%	0.28%	16.1	332	20.0	11.9	2.18	1 metre
					Incl.	171	172	1	13.2	103	0.37%	0.27%	15.2	356	20.0	14.3	2.16		
EBWRC030	416573.32	7864793.31	298.8	-65		348.5	141	147	6	2.45	1.02	89.5	0.04	25.3	22.6	23.5	14.6	20.3	3m Comp

Note:

- (1) All samples are 1m or 3m riffle split samples.
- (2) Gold analysis method by 25g fire assay with ICP-OES finish.
- (3) Multi element analysis method by 4 acid digest & ICP-OES, ICP-MS finish.

- (4) Intersections are reported as downhole lengths and not true width.
- (5) Minimum cut-off of 0.5 g/t Au. No maximum cut-off.
- (6) Minimum cut-off of 0.5% Cu. No maximum cut-off.
- (7) Maximum of 2m internal dilution.

Table 2: Edna Beryl drill hole details.

Hole ID	East (MGA94_53)	North (MGA94_53)	RL AHD	Dip(deg)	AZI mag (deg)	Depth (metres)	Drill Date	Drill Type	Resplits Received	Sample Type	Tenement Number
EBWRC005	416517.91	7864805.21	298.13	-66.0	348.0	131	5/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC006	416516.46	7864776.71	298.05	-70.0	348.0	96	6/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC007	416516.21	7864778.67	298.02	-67.0	348.0	180	6/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC008	416536.97	7864805.22	298.20	-66.0	349.0	143	7/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC009	416546.82	7864780.13	298.23	-67.0	348.0	204	8/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC010	416546.97	7864814.13	298.26	-66.5	349.0	132	8/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC011	416565.38	7864829.08	299.40	-66.5	349.5	108	9/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC012	416573.06	7864809.09	298.97	-66.5	349.4	149	9/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC013	416573.38	7864790.91	298.65	-66.5	348.0	204	9/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC014	416583.39	7864826.57	298.87	-66.5	349.3	108	11/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC015	416536.29	7864791.06	298.25	-65.5	349.0	168	12/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC016	416603.80	7864829.75	300.90	-66.5	348.8	126	13/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC017	416603.78	7864809.07	300.05	-65.5	348.8	156	14/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC018	416582.29	7864804.00	299.19	-65.5	349.3	162	14/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC019	416619.76	7864845.91	303.11	-60.0	355.0	84	16/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC020	416623.79	7864837.87	303.01	-66.0	348.9	114	16/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC021	416637.69	7864827.28	303.36	-65.0	349.0	126	17/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC022	416689.75	7864856.15	308.09	-66.0	349.2	102	17/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC023	416709.82	7864861.29	308.75	-65.5	348.9	102	19/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC024	416645.81	7864777.06	300.12	-66.5	345.0	66	19/06/2016	RC	Yes	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC025	416645.10	7864779.21	300.08	-65.5	345.0	246	20/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC026	416657.62	7864802.05	302.18	-65.5	343.0	204	21/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC027	416606.34	7864957.71	299.14	-60.0	160.3	150	22/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC028	416594.98	7865013.00	299.26	-66.0	162.0	252	23/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC029	416629.00	7864994.03	301.36	-66.0	161.0	210	24/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705
EBWRC030	416573.49	7864793.00	298.77	-65.5	344.0	162	25/06/2016	RC	No	RC Chips	ML C705

TOTAL 5,100m

The exploration results contained within the above company release are in accordance with the guidelines of *The Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves* (the JORC Code, 2012).

SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA – EDNA BERYL EXPLORATION TARGET

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill holes (EBWRC001-004) were reported ASX: 19/05/2016. Drill holes reported in this release (EBWRC005-030) were drilled during the period from 5/06/2016 – 25/06/2016. Drilling targeted ironstone both to the east and to the west of the known Edna Beryl mineralisation plus confirmation of historical gold intersections within the Edna Beryl Deeps area (Panel 3). Holes were angled to optimally test the interpreted shear zone). Drill holes have been drilled at an angle between 60 – 67 degrees). The Edna Beryl Exploration Target has been historically sampled using RAB, Reverse Circulation (RC) and diamond drilling (DD) techniques. 24 RAB holes for 1,140m, 29 RC/Percussion holes for 2,534m and 26 Diamond holes for 4,678.2m have been completed. The drill hole spacing is nominal 10m x 10m grid spacing. In areas of mineralisation spacing has been reduced to 5m x 5m. Holes have been angled to optimally test the host shear zone. Typically, most drill holes have been drilled towards the North at angles (dip) between 45 to 70 degrees from surface. EBWRC005-030RC chips are riffle split on site to obtain 3m composite samples from which 2.5–3.0kg sample was pulverised (at Genalysis in Alice Springs) to produce a 25g charge for analysis by Aqua Regia digestion / ICP-MS/OES (Au, Ag, Bi, Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn, Mo, U, Se, Sb). Individual 1m (re-split) samples are retained on the drill site. Anomalous zones were individually assayed (re-splits) once 3m composite results are returned. Individual 1m samples are pulverised to produce a 25g charge for analysis by four acid digest with an ICP/OES (Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn) ICP/MS (Ag, Bi, Mo, Sb,) & Fire Assay/AAS (Au) finish. RC samples were collected via a fixed cone splitter that is mounted to the drill rig under a 1200cfm cyclone. The fixed cone splitter has three sample chutes for comparative sampling, 2 chutes are synchronised for comparative samples and 1 Chute is independently set for the geologists field samples. Air Leg samples (ASX:16 Mar 2016) were collected from the floor of the refurbished cross cut drive at Edna Beryl to a final depth of 1.83m or 6 foot. Air Leg samples were collected from approximately 53m below surface level. Samples consisted of powdered (dust) and larger chips of red hematite ironstone.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26 RC drill holes were drilled (this ASX release) in this second program (EBWRC005-030 – see table in text). Total metres drilled are 3,885m. The shallowest hole was 66m and deepest was 252m).

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The average drill hole length was 149m. • RC drilling utilizes a 5 ^{3/4} inch, face sampling bit. • RAB, RC and Diamond drilling accounts for 100% of the current drilling at the Edna Beryl Exploration Target. • RC recoveries are logged and recorded in the database and for this program were considered excellent. • Standard inner tube has been used. • Three vertical air leg holes were spaced at 1m x 1m and drilled to a final depth of 1.83m (ASX:16 Mar 2016). • The diameter of the air leg drill steel outside diameter is 30mm. • Two samples were collected from each drill hole. • The first sample was collected from the surface of the drive floor to a distance of 1.22m or 4 foot. • The 1.22m hole was then blown out and cleaned via the air leg to ensure minimal contamination. • The second sample was then collected from 1.22 to a final depth of 1.83m or 6 foot. • All three holes were drilled this way.
<p><i>Drill sample recovery</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC samples are visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. No issues were encountered. • If any issues or concerns are raised they are discussed at the time with the drilling contractor and also recorded in our database and drilling diary. • Recoveries are considered good to excellent for the reported RC drilling. • RC samples are collected via a fixed cone splitter that is mounted to the drill rig under a 1200cfm cyclone. • The cyclone and splitter are routinely cleaned with more attention spent during the drilling of damp or wet samples. • There were no "wet samples" during this program. • Emmerson consider that there is evidence for sample bias that may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. Visible (course) gold is identified in sections of historical diamond core so caution is required. • Air leg drill sample was collected as dust and chips were returned to the surface of the cross cut drive. • All samples were dry. • Sample recovery considered good and representative.
<p><i>Logging</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard operating procedures are employed by Emmerson for logging RC samples. • All RC samples are lithologically logged in one metre intervals. • Drill hole logging data is directly entered into field tough book computers via Logchief software. Look up codes and real time validations reduce the risk of data entry mistakes. • Field computer data (the drill log) are uploaded to Emmerson's relational database whereby the data undergoes a further set of validations checks prior to final upload. • Standardised codes are used for lithology, oxidation, alteration, veining and presence of sulphide minerals. • Structural logging of the RC drill samples was not possible. • Magnetic susceptibility data for all individual 1m RC samples are collected as per ERM procedure. • All RC chips are stored in trays in 1m intervals.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representative RC chips and diamond core is available to all geologists (a physical reference set) to ensure consistency of logging. • All historical drill core and RAB & RC samples was lithologically logged. • A detailed validation of all historical drilling data was completed in 2015 by a full time Emmerson Resources senior geologist. • Standardised codes were used for lithology, oxidation, alteration and presence of sulphide minerals. • Structural logging of selected historical diamond drill core was completed in 2016 recording orientation of veins, fractures and lithological contacts. • Information on structure type, dip, dip direction, alpha angle, beta angle, texture, shape, roughness and fill material is stored in the structure table of Emmerson's database. • All drill core was reported to be photographed, however Emmerson geologists could not locate digital or hard copy photography. • Diamond core is stored in Tennant Creek however several holes (or sections of holes are missing or incomplete. RC chips could not be located. • No geological logging was completed on the 3 air leg drill holes however; the samples are described as brick red, heavy ironstone.
<i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard sampling operating procedures have used by Emmerson during the Edna Beryl West drilling. • The sample preparation of RC samples for follows industry best practice in sample preparation involving oven drying, coarse crushing of the sample down to ~10mm followed by pulverisation of the entire sample (total prep) using LM5 grinding mills to a grind size of 85% passing 75 micron. • Pulverised material not required by the laboratory (pulp) including duplicate samples are returned to ERM, logged into a database and stored undercover at the Tennant Creek office. • Coarse rejects are disposed of by the Laboratory. • Entire air leg sample was hand delivered to the laboratory. • 14 samples in total of which 6 samples were reported (ASX:16 May 2016). • Samples consisted of dust and chips and were all dry. • Samples were not riffle spit. • No duplicate samples were submitted.
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Field QC procedures involve the use of certified reference material (CRM's) as assay standards, and ERM include blanks, duplicates. • QAQC protocols consist of the insertion of blanks at a rate of one in every 40 samples, insertion of standards (CRM's) at a rate of approximately one in every 20 samples and duplicate field sample analysis of at a rate of approximately one in every 20 samples. • A selection of CRM's is available to the geologists and insertion points are predetermined prior to drilling. • The geologist has the ability to override this predetermined insertion based on visual and geological characteristics of

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>the current drill hole.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insertion of assay blanks is increased when visual mineralisation is encountered and consists of insertion above and below the mineralised zone. • RC field duplicates are collected on the 3m composites samples, using a riffle splitter. • Individual 1m RC sample duplicates (re-splits) are also collected using the same technique. • Laboratory checks include CRM's and/or in-house controls, blanks, splits, and replicates that are analysed with each batch of samples submitted. These QC results are reported along with sample values in the final analytical report. Barren quartz washes are also routinely used in zones of mineralisation. • QAQC data is uploaded with the sample values into ERM's database through an external database administrator (contractor). • A QAQC database is created as a separate table in the database and includes all field and internal laboratory QC samples. • QC data is reported through a series of control charts for analysis and interpretation by the Exploration Manager or his/her delegate. • The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the sulphide mineralisation at the Edna Beryl West project based on the style of mineralisation (iron oxide copper gold), the thickness and mineral consistency of the intersection(s). • Emmerson's sampling methodology (SOP) is available at any time for peer review.
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emmerson's Exploration Manager (Competent Person) has discussed in detail the drill and sample collection procedures with the driller and is satisfied that best practice has been followed. • Emmerson's Exploration Manager (Competent Person) has discussed sample preparation and analyses with Genalysis Intertek sample Prep and Lab Manager to confirm the integrity of the sample assay process. • Do to the high grade nature of the samples several repeats have been carried out and the repeatability is considered to be reasonable. . • Original data sheets and files are retained to validate the contents of the database against the original logging. • No twin drill holes have been completed at the Edna Beryl Exploration Target.
<p><i>Location of data points</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample locations are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2 within the main text. • EBWRC005-030 drill hole collars were surveyed (set out and picked up) using a differential GPS and by a suitably qualified company employee. • Collar survey accuracy is +/- 30 mm for easting, northing and elevation coordinates. • Co-ordinate system GDA_94, Zone 53. • Topographic measurements are collected from the final survey drill hole pick up. • Downhole survey measurements were collected routinely

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>every 6m down hole using an REFLEX EZ-Shot® electronic single shot camera for RC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This survey camera equipment is quoted by the manufacturer to have an accuracy of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Azimuth $0-360^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ Dip $\pm 90^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ If the measurement is considered to be affected by magnetic material (ironstone) then an average from the last non affected and the next non affected measurement is used. There were no down hole survey issues during this drill program and all collar positions have been validated by the Exploration Manager.
<p><i>Data spacing and distribution</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EBWRC005 to EBWRC030 were spaced 10-15 metres apart in dip and strike. This close spacing is necessary due to the style and morphology of the shear zone being drill tested. The spacing of historic drill hole collars is erratic, possibly to allow for the high degree of drilling deviation encountered in the Tennant Creek Mineral Field. Identified mineralisation within the Edna Beryl Exploration Target has been defined by drill holes on a section spacing of 10 m to 20 m with an average on-section spacing of 10 m. Emmerson considers the Edna Beryl mineralisation to be an Advanced Exploration Target and that it is uncertain that following evaluation and/or further exploration work that the historical estimate will be able to be reported as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the requirements in Appendix 5A (JORC Code). RC sampling is on 1 m intervals that may have originally consisted of 3m composites. The air leg holes were space 1m apart. The cross cut drive is 2m x 1.1m.
<p><i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploration drilling is at a high angle to the mineralized bodies and/or shear zone. Exploration drilling is perpendicular to mineralized bodies or shear zone. No orientation based sampling bias has been identified in the data at this point. It is considered that the recent RC drilling (EBWRC005-EBWRC030) is representative and that no sample bias has been introduced. Results at this stage suggest that the geological targets being tested have been drilled in the correct orientation. The 3 air leg holes were drilled vertically into the floor of the cross cut drive. Samples ended in ironstone material. It is considered that the vertical drilling is representative and that no sample bias has been introduced.
<p><i>Sample security</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples from EBWRC005 – 030 were selected, bagged and labelled by site geologist and field assistants. They are placed in sealed polyweave bags and then larger bulka bags for transport to the assay laboratory. The assay laboratory confirms that all samples have been received and that no damage has occurred during

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>transport.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tracking is available through the internet and designed by the Laboratory for ERM to track the progress of batches of samples. Sample receipt is logged into ERM's sample ledger. While samples are being prepared in the Lab they are considered to be secure. While samples are being analysed in the Lab they are considered to be secure.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>No formal audit has been completed on the historical samples.</u> An internal review of the sampling techniques, QAQC protocols and data collection <u>has not been conducted by Emmerson.</u> Digital Rock Services Pty Ltd (1998) and Rocksearch Australia (?) validated historical data on two separate occasions. Minor issues were identified and remedied at the time. In 2003 – 2004 a 52m shaft was sunk at the Edna Beryl explorations target where mineralisation was intersected as per the data.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS – EDNA BERYL EXPLORATION TARGET

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Edna Beryl Exploration Target lies wholly within Mineral Lease C705 (ML C705). The Edna Beryl Exploration Target is located 37kms north of Tennant Creek Township and 3kms east of the Stuart Highway. Edna Beryl is situated on map sheet SE53-14 Tennant Creek 1:250,000 and sheet 5759 Flynn 1:100,000 at GDA coordinate 416500mE 7864700mN. ML C705 is located within Aboriginal Freehold Land held by the Warumungu Aboriginal Land Trust (NT portion 1754). The tenement is 100% held by Emmerson Resources Limited. The exploration target is on Aboriginal freehold land. An agreement under the Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976 has been entered into between Emmerson Resources and the Central Land Council on behalf of the Aboriginal landowners. The agreement provides for the protection of sites, the payment of compensation and allows the landowners unfettered access to the lease area (other than the immediate mine site where there are restrictions). Emmerson Resources are in Joint Venture with Evolution Mining. Exclusion Zones are identified within MLC 705 however does no impact on the Edna Beryl Exploration Target area. Approval to drill the second phase of drilling was received from Traditional Owners prior to drilling commencement. MLC 705 is in good standing and no known impediments exist.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Edna Beryl was discovered in 1935 and mined in the 1940s and 1950s by excavation of vertical shafts and

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>horizontal drives to a maximum depth of about 50 metres. Production up until 1952 was reportedly 2,700 tonnes of ore at an average grade of 53 grams gold per tonne.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Giants Reef Mining conducted all known “modern” exploration in and around the Edna Beryl Exploration Target Area. • Giants Reef has carried out exploration on the Edna Beryl area from 1990 to 2005 and during this time identified significant gold mineralisation below the original workings. • An existing shaft sunk during the earlier mining was refurbished in 1996. • In 2004 – 2005 mining was conducted by the Edna Beryl Mining Company (formally known as Craig’s Mining Services) in a Tribute arrangement with Giants Reef Mining. Approximately 410 ounces was produced during this period from the upper mineralised pod from an exploration shaft and drive to current depth of 52m. • Influx of underground water plus declining gold price ceased the operation in July 2005.
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gold and copper-gold deposits discovered in the Tennant Creek gold field to date, are hosted in the Lower Proterozoic Warramunga Formation; a metamorphosed (greenschist facies) greywacke-siltstone-shale sedimentary sequence, that usually displays a pronounced east-west cleavage. Ore occurs adjacent to steeply dipping, lenticular or pipe-like magnetite/haematite/chlorite/quartz bodies (“ironstone”) that are found along east-west trending structures. It is generally thought that the magnetite/haematite was hydrothermally formed in dilation zones along the controlling structures, and that the deposition of gold, sulphides and associated alteration minerals was a later event with mineralisation possibly being derived from a different source but following the same structurally controlled path. In plan view, the ironstone bodies tend to be narrowest in the north-south direction and elongated east west, reflecting the regional cleavage and shearing. Edna Beryl clearly follows this pattern. Their vertical dimensions may run to hundreds of metres, beyond the reach of surface drilling. Ore grades may occur over substantial vertical intervals of an ironstone pipe or lens, but are not expected to occur over the entire length. • The mineralisation style is considered to be Iron Oxide Copper Gold. • Supergene enrichment is very evident.
<i>Drillhole information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drillhole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL of the drillhole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>downhole length and interception depth</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A list of the drill holes, collar detail and intersections is provided in the body of this text Table 1 & 2 and on figure 2.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ hole length. 	
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mineralized RC and Diamond intersections are reported as down hole intervals and not weighted averages. • The results discussed are exploration results only and no allowance is made for recovery losses that may occur should mining eventually result, nor metallurgical flow sheet considerations.
<i>Relationship between mineralization widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drillhole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'downhole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The holes drilled within the Edna Beryl Exploration Target area are perpendicular the east-west striking mineralised zone. The holes were designed and drilled perpendicular to the steep dipping mineralised zone making the intercepts approximate to true width.
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drillhole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer to Figures in body of text.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Due to the age the Resource Estimation for the Edna Beryl resource, Emmerson are cautious and do not believe the historical Resource Estimate can be reported in accordance with the current 2012 JORC Code. Emmerson considers the Edna Beryl mineralisation to be an Advanced Exploration Target. • It is uncertain that following evaluation and/or further exploration work that the historical estimate will be able to be reported as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the requirements in Appendix 5A (JORC Code).
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geotechnical logging was carried out on all historical diamond drill holes for recovery, RQD and number of defects (per interval). Information on structure type, dip, dip direction, alpha angle, beta angle, texture, shape, roughness and fill material was stored in the structure table of the Micromine database. • Density measurements were collected by Giants Reef geologists. • Metallurgical testing of selected mineralised Edna Beryl

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>substances.</i></p>	<p>samples was conducted by Metcon Laboratories Pty Ltd in 1996.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metallurgical testing concluded that 70% could be gravity recovered with the remaining gold cyanide soluble so that total gold extraction of >98% could be obtained. Screen Fire Assay of selected samples was conducted by Giants Reef Mining. • Geophysical magnetic susceptibility logging is completed at 1m intervals on site (RC drilling) and in the core shed for selected sections of diamond core. • Thin section samples were collected by Giants Reef Mining to assist in the refinement of the geological model.
<p><i>Further work</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A follow up program of RC drilling (Phase 3) is planned to commence in August, 2016(pending positive assay results) to further assist in confirming the geological and grade continuity of gold mineralisation already intersected. • Geological interpretation as discussed in the text. • Diamond drilling is being considered for the Panel 3 target. • Gyro survey of drill holes EBWRC001 – 030 is planned for July, 2016