



29 November 2021

Nufarm Limited  
ACN 091 323 312

103-105 Pipe Road  
Laverton North VIC 3026  
Australia

+61 3 9282 1000

[nufarm.com](http://nufarm.com)

**ASX Release – Company Announcement**

**Nufarm receives Fitch Credit Rating of BB with a Stable Outlook**

Nufarm Limited (**ASX: NUF**) advises that Fitch Ratings has assigned a credit rating of BB and a stable outlook. This is the first time that Fitch has assigned a rating to Nufarm.

In the report, Fitch noted the key ratings drivers are Nufarm's top ten market position in terms of overall crop protection and seed products globally, the likelihood of investment in the next few years to expand scale and product range, robust industry fundamentals, limited vertical integration, raw material risks noting Nufarm is seeking to mitigate this risk, moderate product and geographic diversification and improved financial profile.

A copy of the Fitch Ratings report is attached.

-- end --

**Authorised for lodgement by:**  
Fiona Smith  
Company Secretary

**Investor and media contact:**  
Rachel Scully  
[rachel.scully@nufarm.com](mailto:rachel.scully@nufarm.com)  
+61 438 601 942

26 NOV 2021

## Fitch Assigns First-Time 'BB' Rating to Nufarm; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Singapore/Sydney - 26 Nov 2021: Fitch Ratings has assigned Australia-based Nufarm Limited a first-time Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of 'BB' with a Stable Outlook.

Nufarm is among the ten largest crop-protection chemical companies globally, operating in the post-patent segment where product patents have expired. Nufarm also has a small but fast-growing global commercial seeds business. The industry has high barriers to entry and Nufarm's operations provide adequate geographical diversification. Nufarm's rating also factors in its reliance on external suppliers for a large majority of raw material needs and a product portfolio skewed towards herbicides.

Leverage reduced materially in the financial year ended 30 September 2021 (FY21), driven by higher EBITDA on improved weather conditions, robust prices and cost reduction. Sale of LATAM businesses in 2020 for AUD1.3 billion also helped reduce debt. Free cash flow (FCF) also turned positive in FY21, helped by improved working capital management. We expect Nufarm will maintain leverage in total debt/EBITDA terms at below 3.5x, or near the lower-end of its own target range of 1.5x-2.0x.

### Key Rating Drivers

**Ninth-Largest Market Share:** Nufarm has a top-ten market position in terms of overall crop-protection and seed product sales globally. The company also has a number two position in Australia, the leading position in New Zealand and strong positions in segments such as European cereal herbicides, turf and ornamental crop protection in the US and phenoxy herbicides globally. Nufarm also benefits from the sale of products sourced from Japan's Sumitomo Chemical, the eighth-largest industry player and Nufarm's single-largest shareholder, under a strategic alliance

**Growth-Related Spending Likely:** Nufarm's scale remains small compared with the industry's leading players, with revenue less than half of those in the top-five positions, although well positioned within the 'BB' category. The ability to provide a comprehensive set of product solutions to farmers with the help of an extensive portfolio is a key competitive advantage, and we think Nufarm is likely to invest in expanding its scale and product range in the next few years through organic as well as inorganic means.

**Robust Industry Fundamentals:** The industry has high barriers to entry as significant expertise and investment are needed for registrations, sales and distribution, and manufacturing. The market is highly regulated and registrations usually take several years. Crop protection chemicals are characterised by higher R&D intensity, better profitability and lower price volatility than commodity chemicals. Industry players also have pricing power, which lowers risks from foreign-exchange and

raw-material cost swings, although there is often lag in cost pass-through.

**Limited Vertical Integration:** Nufarm has reduced its manufacturing footprint in the past couple of years, and reliance on external purchases has increased. Manufacturers in China contribute a majority of the company's raw material requirements and supply from the country has been affected in the past few years by issues such as a reduced number of suppliers due to stricter enforcement of environmental regulations.

**Raw Material Risks:** We expect Nufarm's reliance on external suppliers in general, and Chinese supply in particular, to remain in place over the medium term and this presents significant risk of volatility in raw-material availability and cost. The company has sought to mitigate risks with the help of long-term agreements, local procurement teams and established relationships with suppliers.

**Moderate Product and Geographical Diversification:** Herbicides, whose demand is prone to significant impact from dry weather, contribute two-thirds of Nufarm's revenue. The skew towards herbicides weakens the diversification of the company's portfolio, which includes a variety of insecticide, pesticide and seed-related products.

Nufarm derived 35% of its crop-protection chemical revenue from North America in FY21, 27% from APAC and 25% from Europe. However, its geographical diversification is limited by a lack of presence in LATAM, which is one of the world's largest crop-protection chemical markets, and significant revenue exposure of over 15% to the relatively small Australian market.

**Improved Financial Profile:** Nufarm's total debt/EBITDA leverage, after Fitch's adjustments, declined to 3.8x in FY21 (FY20: 7.1x) while net debt/EBITDA decreased to 1.8x (FY20: 3.5x). The improvement was mainly driven by a 52% jump in reported underlying EBITDA. The company's FCF was supported by a decline in reported average net working capital/sales ratio to 34% (FY20: around 45%), due to better receivable collections and lower inventory levels.

We expect Nufarm to use a portion of its cash balance for debt reduction and total debt/EBITDA should decline further to 2.8x in FY22. However, leverage could increase to above 3.0x in the next few years due to spending on bolt-on acquisitions

## **Derivation Summary**

Nufarm is rated two notches below crop-protection chemical industry peers UPL Corporation Limited (BBB-/Stable), whose rating is based on the consolidated profile of its parent UPL Limited (UPL), and the Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) of 'bbb-' of Syngenta AG (BBB/Stable), whose rating incorporates a one-notch uplift for parental support. The rating differential between the peers and Nufarm is on account of its weaker business profile.

UPL is the largest company in the post-patent segment of the crop protection market, with revenue more than 2x and EBITDA more than 4x that of Nufarm. UPL's higher margins reflect benefits from better vertical integration, underscored by large manufacturing operations in India where it gains from low costs. UPL's geographical diversification is also better on account of it having operations across

most markets, including LATAM, and its product portfolio is more balanced with no category constituting more than 35% of the total.

Syngenta is the industry leader and an innovator, with a large portfolio of patented crop-protection chemicals that result in higher margins compared with Nufarm. Syngenta's better business profile is supported by its significantly larger scale, with revenue more than 5x that of Nufarm, healthy product and geographical diversification, and market leadership across key segments.

Among broader chemical industry peers, Nufarm is rated similar to nitrogen fertiliser producer OCI N.V. (BB/Stable). OCI has higher leverage than Nufarm and the fertiliser industry is more commoditised with a higher margin volatility than crop-protection chemicals. However, OCI's credit profile benefits from its top-five market position and a low-cost asset base, which results in significantly higher margins compared with Nufarm.

## Key Assumptions

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Revenue CAGR of 4% over FY22-FY25;
- Average EBITDA margin, after deducting capitalised development costs and lease-related expenses, of 9% over FY22-FY25 (FY21: 8%);
- Average annual capex, after adjusting for capitalised development costs, of around AUD115 million over FY22-FY25;
- Total spending on acquisitions of around AUD550 million until FY25. The valuations for potential acquisitions remain uncertain; however, we have factored in the likely earnings contribution in our revenue growth and EBITDA margin assumptions.

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- Positive rating action appears unlikely in the next two to three years, although Nufarm's rating could be upgraded if total debt with equity credit/operating EBITDA leverage is sustained below 2.5x, or there is a material improvement in its business profile, potentially through better product and geographical diversification and/or improved vertical integration.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Total debt with equity credit/operating EBITDA leverage above 3.5x on a sustained basis;
- Sustained negative FCF;
- Evidence of weakening competitiveness and business profile, possibly through persistent revenue decline or margin erosion.

## Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

## Liquidity and Debt Structure

**Adequate Liquidity:** We estimate Nufarm's readily available cash at around AUD560 million as of end-September 2021, lower than the reported cash and cash equivalents of AUD724 million after adjustment for working capital seasonality. By comparison, Nufarm's short-term debt (including adjustment for supplier financing) was lower at around AUD375 million. Long-term debt comprised almost entirely of USD475 million senior unsecured notes due in 2026.

We expect Nufarm to use its substantial cash balance to repay a portion of its debt, aside from seeking growth opportunities from bolt-on acquisitions. Nufarm's cash balance is likely to decrease, but we do not expect a material impact on its liquidity position as short-term debt, which is for working capital, should be rolled over or refinanced unless operations deteriorate drastically.

## Issuer Profile

Nufarm is among the ten largest crop-protection chemical companies globally, with revenue of USD2.4 billion in FY21. Originating in New Zealand in 1916, Nufarm is now present in about 95 countries across the world and has its headquarters in Australia.

## Summary of Financial Adjustments

Key financial adjustments include:

- 1) Due to the extension in trade payable days caused by the use of supplier financing arrangements, 40% of the total outstanding supplier finance amount (FY21: AUD297 million) is treated as secured debt and deducted it from trade payables.
- 2) Off-balance-sheet receivables (FY21: AUD18 million) and deferred debt establishment costs (FY21: AUD8 million) have been added back to debt.
- 3) Nufarm's step-up securities (AUD247 million) have been assigned 100% equity credit. Fitch has assumed permanence of these subordinated securities, given their relatively low cost and the flexibility it provides Nufarm of unconstrained coupon deferral on a non-cumulative basis.
- 4) A portion of reported cash, equivalent to 5% of full-year revenue, is treated as restricted to account

for intra-year working capital swings.

5) Additions to capitalised development costs (FY21: AUD76 million) have been treated as R&D expense and deducted from EBITDA. Inventory write-down (FY21: AUD17 million) has been added back to EBITDA.

### **Date of Relevant Committee**

25 November 2021

### **REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

### **ESG Considerations**

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg)

### **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

#### **Akash Gupta**

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+65 6796 7242

Fitch Ratings Singapore Pte Ltd. One Raffles Quay #22-11, South Tower Singapore 048583

#### **Kelly Amato, CFA**

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+61 2 8256 0348

#### **Vicky Melbourne**

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+61 2 8256 0325

### **Media Contacts**

#### **Leslie Tan**

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

[leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com](mailto:leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com)

#### **Peter Hoflich**

Singapore  
+65 6796 7229  
peter.hoflich@thefitchgroup.com

## Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
Nufarm Limited	LT IDR	BB 	New Rating

## RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE		
NEGATIVE		
EVOLVING		
STABLE		

## Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Hybrids Treatment and Notching Criteria \(pub.12 Nov 2020\)](#)

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.15 Oct 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.09 Apr 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Sector Navigators - Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.15 Oct 2021\)](#)

## Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v7.9.0 [\(1\)](#)

## Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

## Endorsement Status

### Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE OR ANCILLARY SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF PERMISSIBLE SERVICE(S) FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA-REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A COMPANY) OR ANCILLARY SERVICE(S) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

### Copyright

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information



Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on

behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

## **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.